

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,464	12/05/2001	Scott Hartop	9595.00	2776
			EXAM	INER
10/007,464 12/05/2001 26889 7590 07/02/2007 MICHAEL CHAN NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD DAYTON, OH 45479-0001		TIV, BACKHEAN		
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ	•	2151		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/007,464	HARTOP ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Backhean Tiv	2151
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
,	Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachmen	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail	

Detailed Action

Claims 1-26 are pending in this application.

Reopening of Prosecution After Appeal Brief or Reply Brief

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 2/12/2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The Office Action sets forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2151

Claims 1-13,15-22,25,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,502,131 issued to Vaid et al.(Vaid) in view of US Patent 6,687,224 issued to Ater et al.(Ater) in further view of US Patent 6,829,634 issued to Holt et al.(Holt).

As per claim 1, 16,25,26, Vaid teaches the method comprising (Abstract): client monitors its own bandwidth (col.3, lines 8-24, Figs.9-11); each client informing a succeeding client in the chain of that bandwidth (Figs.9-11).

Vaid however does not explicitly teach reordering its position among the clients in the chain, comparing bandwidth between two users and a method of optimizing data streaming in a peer-to-peer architecture including a plurality of clients in a chain.

Ater teaches a method of optimizing data streaming in a peer-to-peer architecture including a plurality of clients in a chain and further teaches that in the peer to peer sharing, the a peer monitors the bandwidth of another peer (Figs. 1-12, Abstract, col.4, lines 10-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Vaid to instead monitor and compare the bandwidth of the user in a peer to peer architecture as taught by Ater in order to control the bandwidth of users in a peer to peer network (Ater, col.4, lines 50-67).

Art Unit: 2151

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Vaid and Ater in order to provide a system to control the bandwidth of users in a peer to peer network (Ater, col.4, lines 50-67).

Vaid in view of Ater however does not explicitly teach reordering position among the clients in a chain.

Holt teaches reordering position among the clients in a chain(Figs. 4A-6B).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Vaid in view of Ater to reorder position among clients in the chain at as taught by Holt in order to have a reliable communication network that is suitable for the simultaneous sharing of information among a large number of processes(Holt, col.2, lines 40-45).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Vaid, Ater, and Holt in order to provide a reliable communication network that is suitable for the simultaneous sharing of information among a large number of processes(Holt, col.2, lines 40-45).

As per claim 2, wherein each client identifies a preceding client in the chain to the succeeding client in the chain (Vaid, Figs. 9-11).

As per claim 3, wherein a detecting client detects that its bandwidth is greater than that of the preceding client in the chain and, in response, opens a connection with a client upstream of the preceding client (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 4, wherein the detecting client identifies a succeeding client in the chain to the preceding client in the chain (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 5, wherein the preceding client opens a connection with the identified succeeding client(Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 6, 19, wherein the or each of the connections is opened concurrently with pre-existing connections between clients in the chain (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 7,20, wherein after the or each concurrent connection has been made to a client, the or each associated pre-existing connection to that client is dropped (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 8,21, wherein the client switches to reading local buffer memory before the pre-existing connection is dropped (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 9, wherein, in the reordered chain, the detecting client receives streamed data via the connection from the client that was upstream of the preceding client (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 10, wherein the detecting client sends streamed data to the preceding client (Vaid, col.2, lines 12-22). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 11,22, wherein the pre-existing connection between the preceding client and the detecting client is reversed (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 12, wherein a replacement connection is opened between the preceding client and the detecting client (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.1.

As per claim 13, in the reordered chain, the succeeding client receives streamed data via the connection from the preceding client (Vaid, col.7, lines7-21). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 15, wherein a client replenishes its local buffer memory after the chain has been reordered (Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65).

Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 17, wherein a client includes address-providing means for receiving and storing the address of a preceding or succeeding client in the chain and providing that address to, respectively, the succeeding or preceding client in the chain (Vaid, Figs.10-12).

As per claim 18, wherein the comparison means of a client is associated with connection means for receiving the address of, and opening a connection with, a client upstream of the preceding client if the comparison means detects that the bandwidth of its associated client is greater than that of the preceding client in the chain(Vaid, Figs. 9-11, Holt, col.7, lines 55-col.8, line 65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2151

Claims 14,23,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,502,131 issued to Vaid et al.(Vaid) in view of US Patent 6,687,224 issued to Ater et al.(Ater) in further view of US Patent 6,829,634 issued to Holt et al.(Holt) in further view of US Patent 5,784,527 issued to Ort.

Vaid in view of Ater in further view of Holt does not explicitly teach as per claim 14,23, wherein after the chain has been reordered, a client synchronizes a time code of data in local buffer memory with a time code of data received from a new streamed data input source before switching to data received from that source.

Ort teaches a client synchronizes a time code of data in local buffer memory with a time code of data received from a new streamed data input source before switching to data received from that source (col.2, lines 35-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Vaid in view of Ater in further view of Holt to synchronize the transfer of data from one terminal to another as taught by Ort in order to handle errors when transferring data(Ort, col.2, lines 35-38).

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Valid, Holt, Ater, and Ort in order to provide a method to handle errors when transferring data(Ort, col.2, lines 35-38).

As per claim 24, wherein a client comprises switch means responsive to the data synchronizing means to switch to data received from the new streamed data input

Art Unit: 2151

source when the time codes are synchronized (Ort, col.2, lines 35-65). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 14.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed 2/12/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-26 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. The prosecution of claims 1-26 is re-open.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (571) 272-5654. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,464 Page 9

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Backhean Tiv 2151

6/22/07

ZARNÍ MAUNG

FRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER